

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (F		
	UI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0710229 DATE:	ARRIVE: DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: RINKER MATERIALS - FORT MYERS		
FACILITY LOCATION: 4262 Canal Street		
FORT MYERS	S, FL 33916	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JEFFREY PORT	PHONE: (561)820-8415	
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:	
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/18/2004 / 10/18/2009 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR NO	on-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING RE	QUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	11	
Stack Emissions	aring this cite visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref : Chapter	
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted de 62-297, F.A.C.)?	uring this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ⊠Yes □ No	
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted de 62-297, F.A.C.)?  2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers	(batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted de 62-297, F.A.C.)?  2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers controlled to the extent necessary to limit 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo	(batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment t visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?   Yes No Watchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment to the visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?	
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted de 62-297, F.A.C.)?  2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers controlled to the extent necessary to limit  3. During visible emissions tests of the silo at a rate that is representative of the norm unless such rate is unachievable in practice.	(batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment t visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?   Yes No dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted nal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, ce?	
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted de 62-297, F.A.C.)?  2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers controlled to the extent necessary to limit  3. During visible emissions tests of the silo at a rate that is representative of the norm unless such rate is unachievable in practic  4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (base)	(batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment t visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?	
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted de 62-297, F.A.C.)?  2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers controlled to the extent necessary to limit  3. During visible emissions tests of the silo at a rate that is representative of the norm unless such rate is unachievable in practic  4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batto this question is "Yes", then continue of skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question is "Yes".	(batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment t visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?	
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted de 62-297, F.A.C.)?	(batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment try visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?	
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted de 62-297, F.A.C.)?	(batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment try visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?	
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted de 62-297, F.A.C.)?	(batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment try visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the		
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:		
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	□Yes □ No	
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	n - □Yes □ No	
Submittal date:	. [168 [140	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?  Yes \sum No		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
	e 🗌	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>,</li> </ol>	ing	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing  ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	Yes	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Unconfined Emissions (Pule 62-296 320(4)(c) FAC)	'		
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock	k piles, and yards? \bigsymbol{\text{Yes}} \bigsymbol{\text{No}}		
<ol><li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-sup</li></ol>	opressant chemicals when necessary to control		
emissions?			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa			
	educe airborne particulate matter? \(\Sigma\)Yes \(\Sigma\) No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind			
	missions at the drop point to the truck?		
b) use of spray par, cnute, or partial enclosure to illingate en	missions at the drop point to the truck?  Yes No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Ru	ıle 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	•		
Since the last inspection has there been	•		
a) installation of any new process equipment?			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without repla	acement?		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different			
recent notification form?			
d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the own	ner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or			
local program office?			
Sherrill Culliver	8/8/06		
	<u> </u>		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
	- Tr		
<b>COMMENTS:</b> Two of the four emission units were tested. The Nor	rth silo was tested. The South silo will be tested on Friday,		
8/10/06			